PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P042902P0 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 14.04.2005 PCT/JP2006/307703 12.04.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/307703

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	-
	\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		the translation of the international application into	which is the language of a
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application a ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	nd necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		on babet	
		in electronic form	
	¢.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed	•
		filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	*
		inimate subsequently to the remaining for the purpose of subsequently	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relat furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identicated or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	ing thereto has been filed or all to that in the application as
4.	Add	ditional comments:	
		·	
			•
		·	
·			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/307703

1.	No. V Reasoned statemen	nt under Ru	ale 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inv	entive step or industrial applicability;		
1.	citations and expla	mations sup	pporting such statement		. .	
	Statement		• .			
	Novelty (N)	Claims			_ YES	
		Claims	1-5		_ NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims			YES	
			1_5		_ NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)		1-5		YES NO	
		Claims			NO	
2.	Citations and explanations:					
	paragraph [0004] (Family: none) Document 3: IP, 06-044907, A (NEC Corp.), 18 February, 1994 (18.02.94), paragraphs [0008]-[0010], Figs. 4 and 5 (Family: none) Claims 1-5 The subject matters of claims 1-5 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 cited in the ISR. Embodiments 1 and 2 of document 1 describe the subject matters of claims 1 and 2, embodiments 3 and 4 of document 1 describe the subject matters of claims 1-3, and embodiment 5 of document 1 describes the subject matters of claims 1, 4 and 5. The subject matters of claims 1-5 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 2 and 3 cited in the ISR. Document 2 describes that in a plasma display panel, writing discharge at the peripheral portion of the panel is not stable. Document 3 describes that in a plasma display panel, writing discharge is stable when the width of a data electrode is wide. In a plasma display panel, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of making the width of a data electrode at the peripheral portion of the panel wide to stabilize writing discharge at the peripheral portion of the panel.					